

Koszul duality + equivalences of categories - Matthew

13.6.2012

II

Recall/reboot: $A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$ graded ring / algebra

- $A_0 = k$ semi-simple (over a field)

- A_i fin. gen over k

$$A^i := \text{Ext}_A^i(k, k)^{\text{op}} \quad \text{Note: } A_0^i = k$$

Def'n A is Koszul if \exists (graded) projective resolution

$$\dots \rightarrow P^2 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$$

s.t. P^i is generated in deg i .

Rem: $\Leftrightarrow (A^i)^i \xrightarrow{\sim} A$

$\Leftrightarrow A$ quadratic with quadratic dual $(A^i)^{\text{op}}$

$\Rightarrow A^i$ is Koszul.

One resolution is $A \otimes_k (A^i)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$, where $(A^i)^{\text{op}} = \text{Hom}_k(A_i, k)$

... Koszul complex,

if A is Koszul, "Koszul resolution"

Goal: Relate representation theory of A, A^i .

1) Construct adjunction $K(A) \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{a} \end{matrix} K(A^i)$ between

"homotopy categories of chain complexes"

objects: chain complexes of gr. modules

morphisms: chain maps modulo homotopy

2) See that this does not induce an equivalence $D(A) \rightleftharpoons D(A^i)$ of "derived categories"

morphisms:

3) What can we do instead?

1) Idea: In $D(A^!)$, $A^! = \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_A(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k})^{\text{op}}$
 Compute this by using Koszul complex.

Fix data: - quadratic presentation of A : $A \xleftarrow{\sim} T(V)/R$,
 V fin. diml v.sp. (\mathbb{k} field)

$\cdot \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(V, V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(V, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} V$
 $1 \longmapsto \sum v_{\alpha}^{\vee} \otimes v_{\alpha}$
 i.e. basis + dual basis of V

$F = K(A) \longrightarrow K(A^!)$

$FM = A^! \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} M = \bigoplus_{e,i} A_e^i \otimes M^i$ as bigraded v.sp.
 $(= \bigoplus \text{Hom}_A(A \otimes (A_e^i)^*, M^i))$ cf. $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_A(\mathbb{k}, -)$ using preferred Koszul resol.

Degree notation: X^{\bullet} ← homol. degree, diff increases
 X_{\bullet} → grading

$(FM)_{\mathfrak{q}}^p = \bigoplus_{\substack{p=i+j \\ \mathfrak{q}=\ell-j}} A_e^i \otimes M_j^i$ $d_{FM}(a \otimes m) = (-1)^{ij} \sum a v_{\alpha}^{\vee} \otimes v_{\alpha} m + d \otimes d_m(m)$
 $a \in A_e^i, m \in M_j^i$

$G: K(A^!) \longrightarrow K(A)$

$GN = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(A, N) = \bigoplus_{e,i} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(A_{-e}, N^i)$
 $(= \bigoplus A_{-e}^* \otimes_{A^!} A^i \otimes N^i)$ cf. derived tensor product using preferred Koszul resol.

$(GN)_{\mathfrak{q}}^p = \bigoplus_{\substack{p=i+j \\ \mathfrak{q}=\ell-j}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(A_{-e}, N_j^i)$
 $d_{GN} f = (-1)^i \sum v_{\alpha}^{\vee} f \circ (v_{\alpha} \cdot -) + d_N \circ f$

Thm (Beilinson - Ginzburg - Soergel)

$$K(A) \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{a} \end{matrix} K(A^!) \text{ is an adjunction}$$

(+Floyd) Similar for $A = U(\mathfrak{g})$

2)

Example: $A = k[t]$, k a field

$$= T(k) = \text{Sym}(k) = U(\mathfrak{G}_a)$$

$$\Rightarrow A^! = k \oplus kE \cong k[E]/(E^2)$$

simple (ungraded) A -modules are $k[t]/(t-a) =: S_a$, $k = S_0$

Koszul resolution: $0 \rightarrow k[t] \xrightarrow{t} k[t] \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$

Compute $F(S_a)$:
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{k[t]}(k[t], S_a) & \xrightarrow{t^*} & \text{Hom}_{k[t]}(k[t], S_a) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ S_a & \xrightarrow{\cdot a} & S_a \end{array}$$

is isomorphism for $a \neq 0$!

$$S_0 \text{ in } D(A^!), \quad F(S_a) = \begin{cases} 0 & a \neq 0 \\ A^! & a = 0 \end{cases}$$

need to restrict to graded A -modules.

Indecomposable Graded A -modules are $k[t]/(t^n)$ and $k[t]$

$$F(k[t]/(t^n)) : k[t]/(t^n) \xrightarrow{\cdot t} k[t]/(t^n)$$

$$F(k[t]) : k[t] \xrightarrow{\cdot t} k[t]$$

• is not 0 \Downarrow

• are different in $D(A^!)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cong & k[-1] \langle 1 \rangle & \\ \text{quasi-} & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \text{iso.} & \text{homol.} & \text{grading} \\ & \text{degree} & \end{array}$$

Remarks:

. This is a shadow of BGA correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 D^b(\text{grmod Sym } V) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & D^b(\text{grmod } \wedge V^*) \\
 \parallel & & \\
 D^b(\text{Coh } PV) & &
 \end{array}$$

• Don't get equivalence: $D(\mathbb{K}[t]) \longrightarrow D(\mathbb{K}[t]/(t^2))$
 b/c $\mathbb{K}[t]$ is compact, but $F(\mathbb{K}[t])$ is not compact.

3) Can we do better?

Problem: F, G don't preserve acyclicity of complexes

1st solution (BGS) There is an induced equivalence

$$D^\downarrow(A) \xleftrightarrow{\sim} D^\uparrow(A')$$

between full subcategories defined by boundedness conditions
 (Corollary: BGA) \Downarrow
 can use spectral sequences

3 a) Fløystad: abstract nonsense: \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} triangulated categories, and

$$\text{adjunction } \mathcal{A} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{array} \mathcal{B}$$

Def'n: \mathcal{C} triang. cat, A full subcategory $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is a null-system

- if
- i) \mathcal{Z} is closed under isom, Σ and Σ^{-1} , and $0 \in \mathcal{Z}$
 - ii) if $L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow \Sigma L$ is a triangle in \mathcal{C} st $L, N \in \mathcal{Z} \Rightarrow M \in \mathcal{Z}$.

If \mathcal{Z} is a null-system, then

$$W(\mathcal{Z}) = \{ f: X \rightarrow Y : \text{Cone}(f) \in \mathcal{Z} \}$$

satisfies 2 out of 3 prop (is multiplicative).

\rightsquigarrow $W(\mathcal{Z})$ is a notion of weak equivalence wrt which we can localize!

$$\mathcal{C}[K(Z)^{-1}] =: \mathcal{C}/Z$$

Example: $\mathcal{C} = K(A)$, $Z =$ acyclic complexes

$$\Rightarrow K(Z) = \text{quasi-isos}, \quad \mathcal{C}/Z = D(A).$$

Def'n
Pick null systems Z_A, Z_B on \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} . They are compatible with adjunction if units $a \rightarrow GF(a)$ & counits $FA(b) \rightarrow b$ lie in $W(Z_A), W(Z_B)$

\Rightarrow get new null systems

$$N_A = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : F(a) \in Z_B\}$$

$$N_B = \{b \in \mathcal{B} : G(b) \in Z_A\}$$

Thm [Fløystad]

F, G induce equivalences

$$\mathcal{A}/N_A \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{matrix} \mathcal{B}/N_B$$

Apply to $K(A) \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{matrix} K(A^!)$ with $Z_A, Z_{A^!}$ given by acyclics.

i.e. forced that we localized wrt those that send acyclics to acyclics.

	$K(A)$	$\begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{matrix}$	$K(A^!)$	
localize \downarrow	\downarrow		\downarrow	
	\mathcal{C}_A	$\begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{matrix}$	$\mathcal{C}_{A^!}$	
localize further \downarrow	\downarrow		\downarrow	
	$D(A)$		$D(A^!)$	

3) b) Keller (after Lefèvre)

very general!

Idea: look for equivalence

$$D(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} ?(A^!)$$

·) instead of $A^!$, use dual coalgebra $A^! := (A^!)^*$

·) define "coderived category" $\text{coD}(A^!)$

by localizing $K(A^!)$ at a subset of quasi-isos.

Setup: (1) A dg algebra, $A = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} A^p$ (only homological grading)

with multiplication μ

augmentation $E: A \rightarrow k$ $\bar{A} := \ker(E)$

(2) C (think $A^!$) dg coalgebra with

comultiplication Δ

coaugmentation $\eta: k \rightarrow C$ $\bar{C} := \text{coker}(\eta)$

- assume that C is cocomplete, i.e. $\bar{C} = \bigcup_{n \geq 2} \ker(\bar{C} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \bar{C}^{\otimes n})$

$\Rightarrow C^*$ is complete local.

(3) Relate A and C by twisting cochain $\tau: C \rightarrow A$ which is

- k -linear

- degree 1

- (i) $E \circ \tau \circ \eta = 0$

(ii) $d_A \tau + \tau d_C + \mu(\tau \otimes \tau) \Delta = 0$ (MC condition)

Example: $A = \text{Sym } V$ (V not necessarily finite dim'l)

$C = \text{Sym } V[1]$

$\tau: C \rightarrow A$ has one non-zero component identifying the copies of V .

→ Have adjoints

$$A\text{-Mod} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F = C \otimes_{\tau} -} \\ \xleftarrow{G = A \otimes_{\tau} -} \end{array} \overline{C\text{-coMod}} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{"co complete" } C\text{-comodules} \end{array}$$

$FM = C \otimes_{\tau} M$ with differential twisted by τ :

$$d_{C \otimes_{\tau} M} = 1_C \otimes d_M + d_C \otimes 1_M + (1_C \otimes \mu)(1 \otimes \tau \otimes 1) (\Delta \otimes 1_M)$$

Example: $\text{Sym } V \otimes_{\tau} \text{Sym } V[1] = \text{Koszul } \text{complex}$

Have: $\overline{C\text{-coMod}}$ has notion of weak equivalence stronger than quasi-iso. ("co bar is quasi-iso.")

→ $\text{coD}(C)$

Prop $D(A) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{array} \text{coD}(C)$ is an adjunction.

Thm $D(A) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{array} \text{coD}(C)$ iff $\underbrace{A \otimes_{\tau} C \otimes_{\tau} A}_{G(F(A))} \xrightarrow{\sim} A$

of Koszul bimodule resolution

Example $D(\text{Sym } V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{coD}(\wedge V)$

Rem: $F(S_a)$ in ex above

Remark: Can apply this to $\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g}$ and $C_{*}^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) \neq 0$ here!

⇒ $D(\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{coD}(C_{*}^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}))$